Extraintestinal Infections due to Escherichia Coli: An Emerging Issue

INTRODUCTION

Escherichia coli is an important nosocomial and community acquired pathogen and one of the commensals of the human intestinal tract [1]. The pathogenic strains of Escherichia coli have long been recognized as the agents of foodborne diarrhoea [2]. It is not always appreciated that E. coli is an important cause of extraintestinal diseases—diseases that occur in bodily sites outside the gastrointestinal tract [3]. These include the urinary tract, the central nervous system, the circulatory system, and the respiratory system [4]. The ability of E. coli to cause extra intestinal infections depends largely on several virulence factors which help in the survival of E. coli under adverse conditions which are present at those sites. E.coli strains that induce extraintestinal diseases are termed as extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC) [5]. In terms of morbidity and mortality, ExPEC has a great impact on public health, with an economic cost of several billion dollars annually [4].

Pathogenic isolates of E.coli have relatively high potentials for developing resistance[6]. Therefore, the treatment of E. coli infections is increasingly becoming difficult. Extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) producing organisms pose a major problem for clinical therapeutics [7]. The knowledge of the drug resistance pattern in a geographical area and the formulation of an appropriate hospital antibiotic policy will go a long way in the control of these infections. Therefore, it is necessary to know the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of pathogenic E. coli to select the correct antibiotic(s) for the proper treatment of the infections which are caused by it [8]. The objective of the present study was to demonstrate the spectrum of the infections which were caused by ExPEC and its drug resistance pattern [Table/Fig-1].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 379 isolates of E. coli from extraintestinal infections which were obtained from January 2009 to December 2010, were
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The present study was conducted to investigate the antimicrobial resistance profile of clinical isolates of Escherichia coli from different clinical samples. A total of 379 isolates were obtained from extraintestinal infections, with 2428 isolates from suspected urinary tract infections (UTI), 253 from blood, 1534 from pus/exudates and 166 from sputum samples. The results showed that among 379 isolates of E. coli, a maximum number i.e. 357 (94.2%) were resistant to ampicillin and the resistance was lowest in carbapenams 0(0%), followed by netilmicin 59(15.6%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>No. of Isolates</th>
<th>Percentage of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus and exudates</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of the drug resistance pattern in Table-3 shows that among 379 isolates of E.coli, a maximum number i.e 357(94.2%) were resistant to ampicillin and the resistance was lowest in carbapenams 0(0%), followed by netilmicin 59(15.6%).

Among the isolates from urine, the maximum resistance was observed for ampicillin 238(68.5%), followed by ciprofloxacin 120(32.6%), gentamicin 81(24.1%), and netilmicin 60(19.7%).

In isolates from sputum, the maximum resistance was observed for ampicillin 22(95.6%), followed by ciprofloxacin 16(69.5%).

The study population included hospitalised patients of all age groups in a tertiary care referral hospital which was attached to a medical college. Due permission was obtained from the institutional head to conduct this study. The specimens which were received by the Department of Microbiology were pus, exudates, clean catch midstream urine, sputum and blood from patients who were suffering from wound infections, intra-abdominal infections, urinary tract infections (UTI), respiratory infections and blood stream infections. The samples were processed immediately and were identified by using standard techniques [9].

Antibiotic susceptibility was tested with the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method, according to the CLSI guidelines [10].

The Kirby Bauer Disk Diffusion Method
This was done on Mueller Hinton agar (Hi Media, Mumbai) which was prepared from a dehydrated base according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The preparation of the inoculum for the sensitivity testing was done by emulsifying 3-5 morphologically similar colonies in peptone broth and incubating them at 37°C until the turbidity was comparable to a 0.5 Mc Farland's Turbidity standard.

The control was prepared by using the E coli ATCC 25922 strain. A sterile cotton swab was dipped into the inoculum and rotated several times against the wall of the test tube above the fluid level, to remove the excess inoculum. The dried surface of a Mueller Hinton plate was then inoculated with the swab as a lawn culture. Once the surface was dried, the antibiotic disks (from Hi Media, Mumbai) were placed on the surface by evenly spacing them in such a way that any two disks were not closer than 24mm from centre to centre. After 18 hours of incubation at 37°C, the inner diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured by using a millimetre scale around each antimicrobial disk, on the undersurface of the plate. The zone size around each antimicrobial disk was interpreted as sensitive, intermediate or resistant according to the CLSI guidelines of 2009 [10] as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Disc Content</th>
<th>Resistant</th>
<th>Sensitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>10µg</td>
<td>&lt;13</td>
<td>&gt;17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/ Sulphathemethoxazole (Cotrimoxazole)</td>
<td>1.25/23.75µg</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&gt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>5µg</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&gt;21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>30µg</td>
<td>&lt;14</td>
<td>&gt;23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>30µg</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>30µg</td>
<td>&lt;14</td>
<td>&gt;17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netilmicin</td>
<td>30µg</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>10µg</td>
<td>&lt;13</td>
<td>&gt;16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Total Screened</th>
<th>Total Culture Positive</th>
<th>E.Coli Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>2428</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>1858</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear swab</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascitic fluid</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Samples received, positive on culture and positive for E.coli

Table-3: Samples obtained from extraintestinal infections.
ciprofloxacin 15(65.2%), gentamicin 12(52.2%), amikacin 9(39.1%), cefotaxime 7(30.4%), and netilmicin 6(26.1%), as shown in [Table/Fig-6].

The E. coli which was isolated from blood was sensitive to all the antibiotics. None of the E. coli which was isolated from the various samples was resistant to carbapenams.

[Table/Fig-5] shows the resistance of the E. coli isolates in paediatric patients (0-18 years). The maximum number of cases were of UTIs (85.9%). Ampicillin was the most resistant antibiotic (79.5%), followed by Cotrimoxazole which was resistant in 60.2% isolates. All the other antibiotics which were used were moderately sensitive. All isolates were sensitive to Imipenam.

[Table/Fig-6] shows the resistance of the E. coli isolates in adults (18-65 years). The maximum number of isolates were from UTIs (61.8%). Ampicillin was the most resistant antibiotic (98%), followed by Cotrimoxazole which was resistant in 74.4% isolates and gentamicin was resistant in 56.5% isolates. All other antibiotics were moderately sensitive. All isolates were sensitive to Imipenam.

[Table/Fig-7] shows the resistance of the E. coli isolates in inpatients. The maximum number of cases were of UTIs (58.1%). The maximum resistance was for ampicillin (96.4%), followed by Cotrimoxazole which was resistant in 74.2% isolates and gentamicin resistant to 56.3% isolates. All other antibiotics were moderately sensitive. All isolates were sensitive to Imipenam.

DISCUSSION

E. coli has widely been implicated in various clinical infections, namely hospital acquired and community infections, as reported by Shah et al [11]. Extraintestinal, pathogenic Escherichia coli (ExPEC) possesses virulence traits that allow it to invade, colonize, and induce diseases in bodily sites outside of the gastrointestinal tract [2] by overcoming the host defence mechanisms. The virulence of the individual strains in a given infection is determined by the presence and actual expression of the virulence genes which are present in them, and also by the environmental conditions in the host [3]. E. coli is therefore able to cause a variety of infections such as urinary tract infections (UTIs), soft tissue infections, bacteraemias, respiratory tract infections, etc, as was seen in our study, with UTIs being the predominant type of infection. This was also the findings of a study which was done by Olowe et al [12].
Drug resistance is on the rise among the E. coli strains that cause human infections. The studies from other developing countries have shown that the trend in enteric pathogens is towards increasing antibiotic resistance[13].

In our study, the antibiotic susceptibility pattern was studied for all the isolates of E. coli. Resistance was observed to the commonly used antibiotics such as ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, co-trimoxazole, cefotaxime, gentamicin, amikacin and netilmicin. A greater prevalence of the resistance to the common antibiotics has also been reported by other workers[14,15]. The presence of multidrug resistance may be related to the dissemination of antibiotic resistance among the hospital isolates of E. coli. Such multi drug resistance has serious implications for the empiric therapy of the infections which are caused by E. coli and for the possible co-selection of the antimicrobial resistance which is mediated by multi drug resistance plasmids[16]. Among the aminoglycosides, netilmicin was found to have an edge over gentamicin and amikacin. Similar observations have been made by a previous group of researchers [14]. A maximum number of isolates (76.9%) were resistant to ampicillin and the least number (42.8%) to netilmicin. These results were consistent with those of the previous studies on drug resistance in E. coli [17,18].

When divided into age groups, the most E. coli resistance was seen in the adult population (age more than 18 years). This was similar to other studies which were done by Iqbal[19] et al and Aypak[20] et al. The highest resistance was seen for ampicillin (98%) and cotrimoxazole (74.4%) in the age group of more than 18 years. A similar pattern was seen with quinolones, cephalosporins and aminoglycosides. Imipenem was sensitive to all the isolates.

Another finding was a relatively low resistance to most of the antibiotics in the paediatric age group. This was because the maximum patients were of UTI, which could be because of the community acquired strains with a lesser degree of antimicrobial resistance. Also, children were not treated with higher antibiotics previously. This was in contrast to the findings of a study which was done by Iqbal et al[19], who found a relatively high resistance in the age group of 1-20 years, though they have not given supporting evidence for the same.

The rates of resistance were different among the inpatient and outpatient isolates. Our data shows the increased rates of resistance to all the antibiotics except Imipenem in the inpatient cases, as compared to that in those who were treated on an outpatient basis. This may be due to the increased use of antimicrobials in our hospital setting. These findings are similar to those of the study which was done by Al-Tawfiq in Saudi Arabia[21]. While in the outpatients, the oral, first generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones were effective, in the inpatients, only the newer aminoglycosides and carbapenems seemed to be effective. These findings were comparable to those of the study which was done by Gupta et al [22].

The high rate of antimicrobial resistance in the pathogens which were isolated our the hospital can possibly be explained by the selective effect of the treatment with multiple antimicrobials for a single patient, which may have resulted in the amplification of the antimicrobial resistance in some organisms [23].

On the specific subject of uropathogens, a number of alarming papers concerning the rising resistance rates have been published[17,24,25] and a recent case-control study by Hillier et al [26] provided evidence that the exposure to antibiotics was a strong risk factor for UTIs which were caused by resistant E. coli. Our study was similar to the above mentioned one, in having a high degree of resistance to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole and gentamicin. Though carbapenem resistance has been reported from other studies [27], we have not encountered any such resistance among the E.coli strains at our centre. Hence, the judicious use of this group of antibiotics still holds a ray of hope for the patients who are infected with multi-drug resistant organisms.

Therefore, the correct detection of drug resistant E.coli is important. The judicious use of antibiotics and a good antibiotic policy are needed to limit the emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance in bacteria. When selecting empirical therapy, in vitro susceptibility patterns must be considered along with other factors such as expected efficacy, adverse effects, cost, cost-effectiveness, and the selection of the resistant strains [17].

**CONCLUSIONS**

The continued development of antimicrobial resistance among E.coli isolates is disturbing and it requires both further surveillance and new approaches to slow the emergence of resistance. The trends which are seen with E. coli may also occur with other pathogenic organisms. The proper selection of antibiotics for the treatment depends on the results of the antibiotic sensitivity test. Since antimicrobial resistant patterns are constantly evolving, and as this is a present global public health problem, there is a necessity...
for constant antimicrobial sensitivity surveillance. This will help the clinicians to provide safe and effective empirical therapies.
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