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ABSTRACT
Synchronous endometrioid carcinoma of the uterine corpus and ovary is an uncommon but well known phenomenon. Such cases may represent either two primary tumours or a single primary and associated metastasis. There are significant clinical implications with either diagnosis. We present a case of a 48 year old unmarried women who came to our hospital with Right ovarian mass measuring 13cm. Total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingoopherectomy was done. Histological examination showed well differentiated endometrioid ovarian cancer and well differentiated endometrioid endometrial cancer with squamous differentiation and metastasis of the endometrial cancer to the cervix. Patients with synchronous endometrioid tumours of the endometrium and ovary are generally younger, tend to be of low grade and the prognosis of endometrioid type carcinoma is better than other histological types of carcinoma. Immunohistochemistry plays an important role to differentiate single primary with metastasis and dual primaries especially at places with limited resources.
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INTRODUCTION
The simultaneous development of multiple primary cancers in the upper female genital tract is a well known phenomenon. Of these the commonest is the endometrioid carcinoma of the ovary and the uterus. Diagnosis of this type of tumour either as a separate independent primary or as a metastatic tumour is difficult. A careful consideration of a number of gross, histological and immunohistochemical features may be helpful in the distinction between metastatic and synchronous primary tumours which have different therapeutic and prognostic implications [1, 2].

CASE REPORT
A 48 year old unmarried female was admitted in the Gynecology department of our hospital for abdominal distension of three days duration. Her menstrual periods were regular. Ultrasonography revealed a bulky uterus with a large complex right adnexal mass lesion arising from the ovary and extending across the midline.

Exploratory laparotomy with total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingoopherectomy was done.

GROSS
On gross examination uterus with cervix measured 9 × 7 × 4cm. C/S of the endometrium showed fleshy appearance with a friable growth extending up to the cervix [Table/Fig-1a].

The ovarian mass measured 13x6x4cm. E/S was smooth and there was no breach of the capsule. On C/S ovary was solid with few cystic areas and papillary projections. The cystic areas were filled with mucinous material [Table/Fig-1b].

The other ovary measured 2 × 2 × 1cm. C/S showed corpus luteum. Also received omental fatty tissue measuring 11 × 6 × 1cm. Multiple sections were taken from the ovarian mass, cervix, endometrium and the omentum.
MICROSCOPY
Histopathology of the ovarian mass revealed features of grade I endometrioid carcinoma with papillary change [Table/Fig-2a]. The tumour involved the entire thickness of the ovary. Vascular, lymphatic or capsular invasion was not seen and coexistent endometriosis was not seen. Sections from the endometrium also revealed features of grade I endometrioid carcinoma with focal squamous differentiation and infiltration into myometrium [Table/Fig-2b&c]. Sections from the cervix showed an endocervical endometrioid carcinoma [Table/Fig-2d]. Serial sections from the tubes showed normal histology. Sections from omental pad of fat were free from any tumour deposit.

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY (IHC)
To rule out metastasis from primary we proceeded with IHC using vimentin, epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) and cytokeratin (CK) as basic markers. The endometrial and the cervical tumours were positive for vimentin and EMA, while cytokeratin was positive in squamous differentiation in endometrium and it was negative in the cervix [Table/Fig-3]. The ovarian mass was positive for EMA and CK and negative for Vimentin [Table/Fig-4].

DISCUSSION
The presence of two genital tumours at the same time is relatively uncommon. They make 0.63% of all genital malignancies [3]. Carcinoma of the ovary and the endometrium can occur simultanously in about 10% of women with ovarian carcinoma [4]. This may be attributed to the development of the surface epithelium of the ovary which has the same embryologic derivation from the mullerian duct [1].

Endometrioid ovarian carcinomas comprise about 10-25% of all the primary ovarian carcinoma [5] and coexistent endometriosis can be demonstrated in 10-20% of the cases. In some cases the tumours can be seen arising from an endometriotic cyst [6]. However in this particular case there was no coexistent endometriosis or endometriotic cyst. Some patients with endometrioid carcinoma of the ovary have either endometrial hyperplasia or a synchronous endometrioidal adenocarcinoma [7]. In our case endometrial adenocarcinoma with metastasis to cervix was present.

Metastases from sites in the female genital tract to the ovaries can cause particular problems in differential diagnosis because synchronous primary tumors can occur and the histologic appearance of metastatic tumors can mimic that of primary ovarian carcinomas. Endometriodal adenocarcinomas of endometrioid and serous types are the most common genital carcinomas to metastasize to the ovaries. Gross pathologic findings that suggest that the ovarian carcinoma might be metastatic include: the endometrial carcinoma is large and deeply invasive, the ovarian tumor is small, the ovarian tumor is multinodular and solid, the ovarian tumor is bilateral, surface implants are present on the ovary and extra-ovarian metastases are present in a distribution characteristic of
[Table/Fig 3]: Cytokeratin, EMA, and vimentin positive in the endometrium and vimentin positive in the cervix

[Table/Fig 4]: Ovarian mass was positive for EMA and CK and negative for Vimentin
endometrial adenocarcinoma (i.e., lymph node metastases more likely than peritoneal metastases) [8].

Several histologic features help to distinguish primary from metastatic tumors in the endometrium and ovaries. The presence of precancerous processes like endometrial hyperplasia or ovarian endometriosis is a strong evidence of in situ genesis. Different histologic types of synchronous endometrial and ovarian tumors are also good evidence of independent primaries. On the other hand similar histologic patterns cannot be taken as an evidence of metastasis as 15-20% of ovarian tumours with endometrioid histology are associated with histologically similar lesion in the endometrium.

Microscopic features that raise the possibility that an ovarian tumor might be metastatic include a bilateral, multinodular growth pattern, implants on the surface of the ovary, numerous emboli of metastatic carcinoma in lymphatic spaces, especially in the hilum and mesovarium and an unusual microscopic pattern for a primary ovarian tumor, such as goblet cells in an inappropriate histologic setting, a signet ring cell appearance or an Indian file pattern of invasion [9].

In our case squamous differentiation was also noted with endometrial tumour. Search of literature showed that of all the endometrioid carcinoma of the endometrium, squamous differentiation is observed in 2-20% of cases and metastasis to the cervix is very common [10]. At the same time there was no squamous differentiation noted in cervical tumour, favouring metastasis of endometrial tumor to the cervix.

Ovarian endometrioid carcinomas are strongly immunoreactive for CK and EMA and negative for vimentin while endometrial primary tumour is positive for all three markers. Search of literature showed primary endometrioid carcinoma of the cervix is rare and they make about 7% of all the cervical adenocarcinomas. The possibility of primary endometrioid carcinoma of the cervix was excluded by immuno histochemistry. Primary endocervical tumors show a combination of carcinoembryonic antigen positivity and vimentin negative, while reverse is more characteristic of endometrial primary tumours. In our case primary endocervical tumour was ruled out as the cervical tumour was positive for vimentin [11].

Using International federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics guidelines a patient diagnosed with dual primaries confined to the ovary and uterus represent two stage I cancers. These patients have good prognosis and depending on the stage substage may not require radio or chemotherapy. By contrast primary endometrioid ovarian carcinoma and endometrial metastasis would be stage II A cancer and primary endometrial carcinoma with ovarian metastasis would be stage III A and require aggressive treatment [5].

To conclude it is necessary to identify synchronous primaries and metastatic tumours correctly as staging, prognosis and further management depend on it. In fact, standard criteria for differentiating between primary and metastatic tumors are likely to be misleading in this situation and additional testing is required. IHC and recently molecular diagnosis will provide the real confirmation. Immunohistochemistry plays an important role to differentiate single primary with metastasis and synchronous primaries especially at places with limited resources. The following IHC markers can be helpful in the differential diagnosis [Table/Fig-5] [12,13].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Primary Endocervical</th>
<th>Primary Endometrial</th>
<th>Primary Ovarian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Strongly Positive</td>
<td>Weakly positive</td>
<td>Negative in endometrioid Positive in mucinous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK7</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV in situ hybridization</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table/Fig-5]: Immunohistochemical markers for distinguishing between primary endocervical, endometrial and ovarian tumours. (ER: Estrogen Receptor, PR: Progesterone Receptor, CEA: Carcinoembryonic Antigen, CK: Cytokeratin, EMA: Epithelial Membrane Antigen, HPV: Human Papilloma Virus)
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