Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in Western Indian Type 2 Diabetic Population: A Hospital – based Cross – Sectional Study

ABSTRACT
Context: Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) can be defined as a damage which is caused to microvasculature in the retina by prolonged hyperglycaemia. Various studies have been conducted in south India, to find out prevalence of DR. It remains a less explored domain among type 2 diabetic patients in western India.

Objectives: (1) To assess prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in type 2 DM in western Indian population. and (2) To find out effect of duration of diabetes on severity of DR in this population.

Study Design: A hospital – based, cross – sectional study.

Material and Methods: A total of 168 patients with type 2 DM underwent detailed opphthalmoscopic examinations for DR. The ETDRS classification was followed to categorize retinopathy in different stages.

INTRODUCTION
According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report, India has 31.7 million diabetic subjects, and the number is expected to increase to an alarming 79.4 million by 2030 [1]. These clinical differences and the increasing prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) in India [2] emphasise need for epidemiologic studies on diabetes-related complications among type 2 diabetic population. Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the complications of diabetes, which affects the microvasculature of retina. It is the leading cause of a visual impairment. It is shown to cause visual impairment in more than 86% type 1 diabetic patients and in 33% type 2 diabetic patients [3,4]. In epidemiological studies which have been done in the past, it has been seen that nearly all type 1 patients and 75% type 2 patients develop DR within 15 to 20 years of being diagnosed as having DM [5].

Prevalence of DR in India varies from study to study. Raman et al., found a prevalence of 18% in diabetic population, whereas Agrawal RP et al., found a prevalence of 28.9% in type 2 diabetic population [6,7]. Although various population and hospital based studies have been conducted in northern [7] and southern [8] parts of India to estimate the prevalence of DR in type 2 diabetic population, there is a paucity in the literature regarding the prevalence of DR in western India. With above context, a hospital-based, cross-sectional study was designed to find out prevalence of DR and effect of duration of diabetes on severity of DR in western Indian, type 2 diabetic population.

RESULTS: We observed that overall, prevalence of DR in type 2 patients of western India was 33.9%. Prevalences of non-proliferative DR and proliferative DR were 25.5% and 8.33% respectively. Statistically significant differences (p value<0.05) were observed between prevalences of DR in each group of patients which was classified, and duration of diabetes. Prevalence of CSME (clinically significant macular oedema) was 6.5%. Associated hypertension showed a statistically significant (p value<0.05%), higher prevalence of DR.

CONCLUSION: This study concluded that prevalence of DR in type 2 DM patients of western India was 33.9% and that it increased with duration of diabetes. Associated hypertension is a risk factor for development of DR. It was further noted that proliferative DR was prevalent only after having diabetes for 11 years.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This study was conducted in a medical college and a hospital in western India, during October 2007 to September 2009. A total number of 168 patients who visited the diabetic clinic and were diagnosed for type 2 DM were selected for the study. Diagnosis of diabetes was made in each case by doing a standard oral GTT with a 75gm glucose load by using the recommendations of ADA (American Diabetic Association) for type 2 DM [9].

Inclusion criteria: Patients who were diagnosed with NIDDM (non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus), who gave their consents for participation in the study.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with mature cataracts and hazy media, whose fundi could not be examined. Patients with a history of exposure to radiation, hypertensive retinopathy without DM, sickle cell disease and pheochromocytoma were also excluded, as these conditions could mimic fundus features with diabetic retinopathy. A history of hypertension was taken and a blood pressure measurement was carried out. All the patients who were selected for study underwent detailed opthalmoscopic examinations. They were performed by same examiner under constant environmental conditions and on same machine to avoid errors in the data collection. An approval was obtained from institutional ethics committee. Informed consents were taken from all the subjects and the study was carried out in accordance with the World Medical Association – Declaration of Helsinki.

After taking a detailed history, a routine ophthalmological examination was done. The pupils of both eyes were dilated by using a mydiatric agent (1% Tropicamide eye drops). Distant direct
ophthalmoscopy, direct ophthalmoscopy and binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy were done. Binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy was done with a 20 D lens with the patient in supine position. Findings were noted and patients were categorized according to findings; whether diabetic retinopathy was present or absent. If present, retinopathy was classified according to early treatment of diabetic retinopathy study (ETDRS) classification [5, 10]. Presence of diabetic macular oedema was noted. If present, it was further classified into clinically significant (CSME) or non-significant.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data was analysed by using epi-info statistical software. Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage were calculated. Prevalence of DR was calculated as the ratio of the number of participants with DR in one or both eyes to the total number of diabetic patients who were evaluated. All confidence intervals were presented at 95% and all analyses were conducted at a <0.05 significance level. Chi – square test and Fisher – Exact test (2-tailed) were used to find out statistically significance differences, wherever they were applicable.

RESULTS

[Table/Fig-1] shows age, gender and duration of diabetes in 168 patients who were studied. Ninety four were male patients with a mean age of 52.26±10.31 years (age range 30-72 years) and 74 were female patients with a mean age of 53.97±11.02 years (age range 35-70 years). Duration of diabetes was longer in female participants as compared to that in males.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>Age in years (Mean±SD*)</th>
<th>Duration of diabetes in years (Mean±SD*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (N=94)</td>
<td>52.26±10.31 (35-70)</td>
<td>13.71± 7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female N=74</td>
<td>53.97±11.02 (30-72)</td>
<td>15.78± 9.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table/Fig-2] shows prevalence of diabetic retinopathy (DR). Out of 168 diabetic patients who were studied, 57 patients (33.92%) had diabetic retinopathy. Out of 57 patients with DR, 43 patients (25.59%) had NPDR and 14 patients (8.33%) had PDR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total no. of patients (pts)</th>
<th>Percentage of pts with *DR (Total)</th>
<th>Percentage of pts with *NPDR</th>
<th>Percentage of pts with *PDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>33.92 (57)</td>
<td>25.59(43)</td>
<td>8.33(14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table/Fig-3] shows that with associated hypertension, prevalence of DR increased. A statistically significant difference was observed between prevalences of NPDR and PDR in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of *DM in years</th>
<th>No. of patients (pts)</th>
<th>% of pts with Mild *NPDR</th>
<th>% of pts with Moderate NPDR</th>
<th>% of pts with Severe NPDR</th>
<th>% of pts with very Severe NPDR</th>
<th>% of pts with Early *PDR</th>
<th>% of pts with high risk PDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.84 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.37 (3)</td>
<td>3.12 (1)</td>
<td>3.12 (1)</td>
<td>3.12 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.94 (1)</td>
<td>5.88 (2)</td>
<td>8.82 (3)</td>
<td>11.76 (4)</td>
<td>2.94 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.57 (1)</td>
<td>10.71 (3)</td>
<td>17.85 (5)</td>
<td>7.14 (2)</td>
<td>3.57 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7.69 (2)</td>
<td>26.92 (7)</td>
<td>7.69 (2)</td>
<td>7.69 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>36.36 (8)</td>
<td>9.09 (2)</td>
<td>18.18 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table/Fig-5] shows the prevalence of various stages of NPDR (mild, moderate, severe and very severe) and PDR (early and high risk) with duration of DM. Seventies of NPDR and PDR were found to increase with an increased duration of DM.

Out of 168 patients, 11 patients (6.5%) developed CSME (clinically significant macular oedema). Prevalence of CSME increased with increasing diabetic age [Table/Fig-6]. A significant difference (p value<0.05) was observed between prevalence of CSME in each group.

[Table/Fig-7] shows that with associated hypertension, prevalence of DR increased. A statistically significant difference was observed between two groups.
DISCUSSION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a well known complication of diabetes mellitus (DM). Prevalence of DR differs in type 1 and type 2 DM. A registry for prevalence of type 1 cases has recently been set up in India. Hence, we have paucity of data on prevalence of DR in type 1 cases. Different population and hospital based studies which were done to establish prevalence of DR in diabetic populations, have been summarized in [Table/Fig-8].

Overall, prevalence which was observed in present study was similar to that which was observed by Rema et al., and Agrawal RP et al., in spite of the fact that in present study, sample size is smallest among all studies. Prevalences of NPDR and PDR matched well with those which were observed by Agrawal RP et al., only. Although NPDR was more prevalent as compared to PDR in all the studies, the observation that more PDR cases were observed in the present study and Agrawal RP et al., study, needs special attention. Both these studies share a close geographical cohort in western and northern India. Possibility of existence of differences in prevalence of DR in ethnic groups was suspected in Asian Young Diabetes Research (ASDIAB) study [15]. Although it is premature to say that such differences may exist among Indian populations of different geographical origins, this possibility may be explored by doing larger population based studies across the nation.

We observed an increase in severity of DR as age of diabetics advanced. This further strengthened the fact that duration of diabetes was single most common predictor which affected severity of DR [6]. According to our findings, DR may appear as early as 0-5 years of having diabetes and more than 90% of patients develop DR after 25 years of having the disease. PDR was seen after 11 years of having diabetes and after 25 years of having it, 100% cases were in severe stages of DR. Prevalence of CSME increased with increasing age of diabetics. Similar observations were evident in studies which were done in the past [16-18]. Associated hypertension is a known risk factor for development of diabetic retinopathy [19]. Our study supported this fact.

LIMITATIONS AND STRENGTHS OF OUR STUDY

Smaller sample sizes, referral biases and cross sectional studies are major limitations due to which results are difficult to extrapolate in larger populations. Its strength lies in the fact that this was a first study of its kind which assessed the prevalence of DR in western Indian population by using retinal photographs and standard grading (ETDRS) technique.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy was 33.92% in Type 2 DM patients of western India. PDR was evident only after 11 years of having diabetes. 100% cases developed severe DR after 25 years of having diabetes. Thus, it can be concluded that age of diabetes
and severity of DR goes hand in hand. The duration of diabetes is the strongest predictor for diabetic retinopathy. Associated hypertension is a risk factor for development of DR.
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