Comparative Efficacy and Safety of Triple Therapy (Ramipril, Telmisartan, Hydrochlorothiazide) Vs Dual Anti Hypertensive Therapy (Ramipril or Telmisartan, Hydrochlorothiazide) in Stage 2 Hypertensive Patients

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the comparative efficacy and safety of ramipril 5mg plus hydrochlorothiazide 12.5mg (R + HCTZ), telmisartan 40mg plus hydrochlorothiazide12.5mg (T + HCTZ) and ramipril 2.5mg plus telmisartan 20mg plus hydrochlorothiazide12.5mg (R + T + HCTZ) in patients with stage 2 hypertension.

Materials and Methods: A prospective, open label, randomized comparative study was conducted to study the comparative efficacy and safety of R+HCTZ (group 1), T+HCTZ (group 2) and R+T+HCTZ (group 3) in 88 patients with stage 2 hypertension without co-morbid conditions. Echocardiography was done to assess left ventricular function. Patients were followed up to 24 weeks and any ADR occurring in this period was recorded.

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a growing global health problem and is predicted to affect 1.56 billion people by 2025. The poorly controlled hypertension can damage target organs, eventually resulting in heart failure, end-stage kidney disease, retinopathy and vascular dementia. The 7th Report of US Joint National Commission on Prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure has classified hypertension as Prehypertension as SBP 120-139 mmHg or DBP 80-89 mmHg, whereas Hypertension, Stage 1 is defined as SBP 140-159 mmHg or DBP 90-99 mmHg while Stage 2 is defined as SBP ≥160 mmHg or DBP ≥100 mmHg. Treatment goals recommended by JNC7 should achieve blood pressure levels <140/90 mmHg, or <130/80 mmHg for patients with co morbid conditions like diabetes or chronic renal disease [1].

Various classes of antihypertensive drugs including diuretics, beta blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), calcium channel blockers (CCBs) and renin inhibitors are used for the treatment as monotherapy or in combination. Monotherapy is not always sufficient to achieve blood pressure control and combination therapy with at least two drugs, including a thiazide diuretic is recommended in patients with stage 2 hypertension [2]. In patients in whom dual therapy is inadequate, triple-drug therapy can be an alternative.

Clinical trials have reported that 23-52% patients require three or more antihypertensive agents for blood pressure control and target level maintenance. Thus, a triple drug combination therapy would be a desirable option in high risk hypertension [3].

Most of the studies with triple therapy with dual blockade of RAAS have been reported in patients of hypertension with co morbid conditions like diabetes mellitus and heart failure. There is a scarcity of research work elucidating the effect of dual RAAS blockers with thiazides in patients of hypertension stage 2 without co morbid conditions and whatever the data is available in literature is mostly from the west [4-7]. Therefore, present study was undertaken to evaluate the triple therapy regimen (dual RAAS block plus thiazide) for efficacy and safety in stage 2 hypertension and compare it with dual therapy comprising of ACEI (Ramipril) or ARB (Telmisartan) with thiazide.
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RESULTS: All the three treatment groups showed significant fall in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure compared to the baseline scores (p<0.0001). Intergroup comparison did not reveal any significant difference. Total number of adverse drug events reported were 15. Group III had higher percentage ADRs. Dry cough (8) was most common ADR. The echocardiography parameters did not change from baseline values with all three treatment regimens.

Conclusion: All three medications were of equal efficacy in patients with stage 2 hypertension without co morbid conditions, failing to prove superiority over each other.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current prospective, randomized, open label, comparative, parallel study was conducted in the Department of Pharmacology in collaboration with Department of Cardiology, Government Medical College, Jammu, India starting w.e.f 1st November, 2011 for a period of one year. Study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee under number IEC/Pharma/17A/2011/2060 dated 20.10.2011. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

All the patients in the age group 18-60 years of both sexes attending Cardiology OPD diagnosed as new cases of stage 2 hypertension (Systolic Blood Pressure ≥ 160 mmHg and/or Diastolic Blood
Pressure ≥ 100 mmHg were included for the study. Patients with Stage 1 hypertension, Malignant or secondary hypertension, associated diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, renal failure, bilateral renal artery stenosis or single kidney, hyperkalemia, heart failure, pregnancy and lactating mothers were excluded.

**STUDY DESIGN**

One hundred and two patients with hypertension were assessed for eligibility and finally 88 patient were enrolled as 14 did not meet inclusion criteria or declined to participate [Table/Fig-1]. A detailed medical history and complete examination was carried out at the time of enrollment for baseline values. Baseline characteristics are depicted in [Table/Fig-2]. Patients enrolled were randomized into three groups. One hundred and two patients with hypertension were assessed for eligibility and finally 88 patient were enrolled as 14 did not meet inclusion criteria or declined to participate [Table/Fig-1]. A detailed medical history and complete examination was carried out at the time of enrollment for baseline values. Baseline characteristics are depicted in [Table/Fig-2]. Patients enrolled were randomized into three groups. Group I (R+HCTZ) comprised of 30 patients were given tab. Ramipril 5 mg plus Hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 mg P.O. once a day. Whereas, Group III (R+T+HCTZ) consisted of 28 patients and received Tab. Telmisartan 2.5 mg plus Hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 mg P.O. once a day. Group II (T+HCTZ) too had 30 patients and were treated with tab. Telmisartan 40 mg plus Hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 mg P.O. once a day. Whereas, Group III (R+T+HCTZ) consisted of 28 patients and received Tab. Ramipril 2.5 mg plus Telmisartan 20 mg plus Hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 mg P.O. once a day. Each patient was followed up for a period of 24 weeks. All the patients attended six follow up visits at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 weeks. During each visit the systolic and diastolic blood pressure measure -ment were done by the auscultatory method. Two measurements were made with the standard mercury sphygmomanometer and the average was recorded [1].

Echocardiography was done at 0, 3 and 6 months to assess left ventricular function. Assessment of the degree of left ventricle hypertrophy (LVH) was done by measuring left ventricular posterior wall and interventricular septal thickness. Using 2-D echocardiogram as a guideline M-mode recording was obtained. Safety assessments consisted of regular monitoring and recording of all adverse drug events. Adverse drug reactions (ADR) were reported using the form issued by Central Drugs Central Control Organization.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data was analysed using computer SPSS version 17.0 for Windows. Mean ± SEM were calculated. Statistical significance among three groups was assessed by one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Posthoc,Bonferroni test was applied to evaluate inter-group significance. Paired t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance within a group. A p-value of equal to or less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant except for paired t-test where Bonferroni correction was used to account for multiple comparisons. Chi-Square test was used to evaluate significance in the occurrence of adverse events.

**RESULTS**

All 3 groups showed significant fall in systolic and diastolic blood pressure (p < 0.0001) from the pre drug baseline values, but the intergroup comparisons revealed no statistical significant difference amongst them [Table/Fig-3,4]. Echocardiography failed to record any significant alterations (p>0.05) in values from base line values on left ventricle posterior wall thickness and interventricular septal thickness in all three groups up to 24 weeks [Table/Fig-5,6].
thrombosis [22] and omersartan 40 mg plus amlopidine 10 mg plus hydrochlorothiazide 25 mg reported to reduced blood pressure significantly more than their dual combinations [23]. Comparison of triple therapy did not reveal statistical significant fall in blood pressure than dual drug combinations. The possible explanation for the similar efficacy may be because of low dose of ramipril and telmisartan used in group III.

Our results are in accordance with ONTARGET trial [7]. Both ACE inhibitors and ARBs are generally well tolerated. The major side effects are cough, hyperkalemia and less often angioedema [24]. In the present study, dry cough was the most common ADR event. Maximum number of dry cough occurred in groups consisting of ramipril. This implies that probably ramipril was the common offending drug as it leads to accumulation of bradykinin, substance P, and/or prostaglandins in the lungs. Cough was less common with ARBs as one event reported in group II.

The ONTARGET trial [7] found a significant increase in adverse effects with combined therapy compared to ACE inhibitors alone. The present study revealed similar findings where maximum ADRs were in group III. TRANSCEND trial [25] also showed that ramipril alone or with telmisartan, was associated with a higher proportion of discontinuations due to adverse events. Since the fall of blood pressure (SBP and DBP) was similar in all 3 groups meaning thereby that all the drug combinations were of equal efficacy but the safety profile appeared more favourable in group I and II over group III. This makes the group I and II with dual combination therapy preferred option. Patients with hypertension are at increased risk of developing a variety of cardiac structural and functional changes [26]. However, left ventricular parameters did not reveal any significant alteration from the mean baseline suggesting no further deterioration during study.

CONCLUSIONS
From the foregoing discussion we conclude that triple antihypertensive therapy failed to elicit the advantage as far efficacy was concerned over dual drug therapy in stage 2 hypertension patients without co-morbid conditions. All regimens were well tolerated. However, while generalization of results on safety, caution must be exercised as sample size was small and a few adverse events were recorded. Therefore further studies are suggested with large sample size to address the safety issue.
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