An in Vitro Evaluation of Antimicrobial Efficacy of 2% Chlorhexidine Gel, Propolis and Calcium Hydroxide Against *Enterococcus faecalis* in Human Root Dentin

Dentistry Section

SONAM BHANDARI¹, ASHWINI T S² CHETAN R PATIL³

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate in vitro the antimicrobial efficacy of 2% Chlorhexidine gel, Propolis and Calcium hydroxide against *Enterococcus faecalis* in human root dentin.

Methodology: One hundred and twenty human extracted anterior teeth were decoronated below CEJ and the apical part of root was removed to obtain 6mm of middle of the root. GG no 3 was used to standardize the internal diameter of root canal. Dentin blocks were infected with *E faecalis* for 21 d. They were assigned into four groups (n = 30).Group 1, Saline (negative control); Group 2, Propolis; Group 3, 2% CHX; Group 4, Calcium hydroxide, At the end of 1, 3, and 5 days an assessment of microbial cells was carried out at a depth of 400 µm and colony counts were calculated.The data were analysed statistically

with one-way analysis of variance followed by Scheffe multiple comparison test (p < 0.05).

Results: The number of colony-forming units was significantly lower in all experimental groups compared to the control group – Saline. 2% Chlorhexidinegluconate produced better antimicrobial efficacy (100%) on day 1, 3 and 5. Propolis (66.37%) had greater antimicrobial activity than Calcium hydroxide (50.89%) on day 1 but there was no significant difference in their antimicrobial activities on day 3 and day 5.

Conclusion: 2% Chlorhexidine gel showed the maximum antimicrobial activity against *E faecalis* and Calcium hydroxide the least. Propolis can be used as an effective alternative intracanal medicament.

Keywords: Culture, Colony-forming units, *E faecalis*, Intracanal medicament

INTRODUCTION

A favourable outcome of the endodontic treatment of teeth with apical periodontitis depends on effective control of the root canal infection [1]. Chemomechanical cleaning and shaping of the root canal can greatly reduce the number of microorganisms but not completely eliminate them because of anatomical complexity and the limitation in accessing the canal system by instruments and irrigants [2,3]. The need of medication increases in those cases where an infection resists regular treatments and the therapy cannot be successfully completed owing to presence of pain and exudation [4]. Therefore one of the most important objectives of endodontic treatment is to reduce the bacterial insult to minimum, allowing host's defence system to take over and provide a favourable environment for healing [5].

The excellent biologic and antimicrobial properties of calcium hydroxide have made this medication the choice for the intracanal dressing of infected root canals. It is bactericidal and neutralizes the remaining tissue debris in the root canal system [6].

However, $Ca(OH)_2$ cannot be considered as a universal intracanal medicament, since it is not equally effective against all bacteria found in the root canal. Indeed, several studies have reported the failure of Ca(OH)₂ to eliminate enterococci effectively as they tolerate high pH values, varying from 9 to 11 [6]. Therefore, research for newer alternative intracanal medicaments is necessary.

Chlorhexidinegluconate has been used as irrigant and intracanal medicament in endodontics. Its antimicrobial effect is related to the cationic molecule binding to negatively charged bacterial cell walls, thereby altering cell's equilibrium and causing leakage of intracellular components. However, complete eradication of the bacteria is not guaranteed with the use of these agents and a search for a medicament that can predictably disinfect the root canal continues [1]. for thousands of years and have become more popular today. Propolis is a naturally occurring resinous substance that honey bee collect from various plants and mix it with wax flakes and their saliva in the hive. This mixture is what they use to cover hive walls, fill cracks or gaps and embalm dead invaders [7]. The chemical composition of propolis is very complex. The chemical composition of propolis varies widely due to climate, season and location. Also, the chemical formula is not stable [8].

Natural products have been used in dental and medicinal practises

Propolis has various potential uses in oral health [9-11]. It has been shown to possess antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antiinflammatory, hepatoprotective, antioxidant, antitumour and immunomodulatory effects. Among these functional properties, antibacterial activity has been linked mainly to flavonoid content.

In this study, we are evaluating propolis for its use as an intracanal medicament and comparing its antibacterial efficacy with the commonly used medicaments i.e calcium hydroxide and 2% chlorhexidine against *E faecalis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and twenty human extracted anterior single rooted teeth with patent root canals and fully developed root apices were selected for the study.

1. Preparation of dentin specimens

The model proposed by Haapasalo and Ørstavik (1987) was modified. The teeth were decoronated below CEJ and the apical parts of root were removed with the rotary diamond disc to obtain 6mm of middle of the root. Gates Glidden drill no 3 (Mani, Inc, Prime Dental Products) in a slow speed handpiece (NSK, Tokyo, Japan) was used to standardize the internal diameter of root canal. The cementum was removed with diamond cylindrical bur (Mani Dia-Burs, Prime Dental Products) to standardize the external diameter

[Table/Fig-1]: 120 study samples - 6mm of middle portion of root and theinternal diameter of root canal is standardized with gates glidden drill no 3

	Day 1	Day 3	Day5	
Saline	3.36	3.41	3.33	
Propolis	1.13	1.06	0.98	
2% CHX	0	0	0	
Ca(OH) ₂	1.65	1.28	1.27	
[Table/Fig-2]: Mean colony counts CFU/ml for different medicaments at different				

time intervals at the depth of 400 μ m, *Colony count (x 10⁵)*

	Day 1	Day 3	Day5	
Propolis	66.37	68.91	70.57	
2% CHX	100	100	100	
Ca(OH) ₂	50.89	62.46	61.86	
[Table/Fig-3]: Percentage efficacy of intracanal medicaments at different time intervals				

to approximately 4 mm [Table/Fig-1]. The specimens were placed in an ultrasonic bath of 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for 5 min followed by 3% NaOCI for 5 min to remove organic and inorganic debris. The traces of chemicals used were removed by immersing the dentine specimens in an ultrasonic bath containing distilled water for 5 min. All the specimens were sterilized in an autoclave in 2 cycles. The first cycle was carried out at 121°C and the second cycle was with the specimens immersed in 1 mL of tryptone soya (TS) broth in individual microcentrifuge tubes.

2. Contamination of the specimens

The test organism used for this study was *E. faecalis* ATCC 35550. *E. faecalis* was grown in tryptone soya agar for 24 h. The culture was suspended in 5 ml of TS broth and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. Each dentine block was placed in pre-sterilized microcentrifuge tubes containing 1 ml of the TS broth. Fifty microlitres of the inoculums containing the *E. faecalis* was transferred into each of the microcentrifuge tubes. At the end of 24 h, the dentine specimens were transferred into fresh broth containing *E faecalis*. All the procedures were carried out under laminar flow. Purity of the culture was checked by subculturing 5 μ l of the broth from the incubated dentine specimens in TS broth on tryptone soya agar plates. Contamination of the dentine specimens was carried out for a period of 21d.

3. Antimicrobial assessment

At the end of 21 d, the specimens were irrigated with 5 mL of sterile saline to remove the incubation broth. They were assigned into four groups (n = 30 dentine blocks).

Group 1, Saline (negative control); (0.9%w/v, sodium chloride injection, Marck Biosciences Ltd, Goa, India)

Group 2, Propolis; (RK's Aroma Products, Mumbai)

Group 3, 2% CHX; (Asep- RC, Stedman pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India)

Group 4, Calcium hydroxide, (Dentpro, Ammdent, Mohali, India)



In groups 2 (Propolis solution) and 3 (2% Chlorhexidine solution), methylcellulose was added as thickening agent to make a gel formulation [3]. The gel was placed in the canal with the syringe with delivery tips. In group 4, Calcium hydroxide was mixed with saline in the ratio of 1.5:1 (w/v) to make a paste like consistency. It was placed with lentulospiral (Mani, Inc, Prime Dental Products) in the canal and condensed with blunt end of the paper point into canal.

After the placement of medicaments, the canals were sealed at both ends with paraffin wax. They were incubated in an anaerobic environment at 37° C.

At the end of 1, 3, and 5 days an assessment of microbial cells was carried out with 10 specimens at each time interval. The canals were irrigated with saline to remove the medicament. Harvesting of dentin was carried out at a depth of 400 μ m with Gates Glidden drill no 5 (Mani, Inc, Prime Dental Products).

The collected dentin shavings were transferred into 1 mL of sterile TS broth and incubated in an anaerobic environment at 37° C for 24 h. After 24 h, the contents of each tube was serially diluted, 100μ L of the broth in 100μ L of sterile saline five times. Fifty microlitres of the dilution was then plated on TS agar plates and incubated for 24 h. Colonies were counted with the digital colony counter and readings were tabulated.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data were analysed statistically with one-way analysis of variance followed by Scheffe multiple comparison test to check the difference in bacterial inhibition between groups (p < 0.05). The paired t-test was used to check for differences in growth at different time intervals within the same group (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

The current study showed that all 3 medicaments studied exerted antibacterial activity. The number of colony-forming units in all the experimental groups was significantly lower in comparison with the control group (Saline) on day 1, 3 and 5 (p < 0.05) as shown in [Table/Fig-2].

Group 3- 2% chlorhexidine gel was found to be the most effective (100%) against *E. faecalis* at the depth of 400 μ m on all days of incubation as shown in [Table/Fig-3].

Intergroup comparison between groups showed significant difference between propolis (66.37%) and Ca(OH)₂ (50.89%) on day 1 (p=0.002), i.epropolis was more effective than Ca(OH)₂ on day 1 but there was no significant difference in their effectiveness on day 3 (p=0.168) and day 5 (p=0.084) [Table/Fig-4].

DISCUSSION

The use of intracanal medications to disinfect the root canal system has been advocated to enhance the success of root canal treatment [12].

The model proposed by Haapasalo and Orstavikwas modified for this study, The presence of the cementum affected the ability of the *E. faecalis* cells to infect the dentinal tubules, therefore, the cementum was removed from the specimens [13,14]. Contamination period of dentin with *E. faecalis* was 21 d. Haapasalo and Ørstavik reported that after 3 wk of incubation of dentine discs with *E. faecalis*, a dense infection from the canal reached up to the depth of 300–400 µm in the dentinal tubules [14].

Calcium hydroxide is believed to have many of the properties of an ideal root canal dressing mainly due to its alkaline pH Estrela et al., [15] claimed that $Ca(OH)_2$ by means of hydroxyl ions inhibits bacterial enzymes of the bacteria's cytoplasmic membrane, generating the antibacterial effect.

In this study, calcium hydroxide showed minimal antimicrobial effect compared with propolis and chlorhexidine. For calcium hydroxide to act effectively as an intracanal dressing, it should ideally occupy all the pulp space and should have close contact with the microorganism. Perhaps such contact does not occur in the total root canal system, where microorganisms can be located inside the dentinal tubules. Moreover, the low solubility and diffusibility of $Ca(OH)_2$, as well as the dentine buffering ability may make it difficult to attain an increased pH capable of eliminating bacteria located within dentinal tubules or enclosed in anatomical variations [6].

Evans et al., demonstrated that the proton pump activity of *E. faecalis* offers resistance to high pH of calcium hydroxide [16]. Chlorhexidinegluconate is a cationic bisguanide that seems to act by adsorbing onto the cell wall of the microorganisms and causing leakage of intracellular components. Chlorhexidine was used in gel formulation because it imparts important properties such as low toxicity to periapical tissues, viscosity that keeps the active agent in contact with the root canal walls and dentinal tubules [17].

In the present study, 2% chlorhexidine gel provided 100% inhibition of *E. faecalis* from day 1 to day 5. The possible reason could be the increased concentration of chlorhexidine i.e. 2% and increased diffusion of the medicament into the dentinal tubules. Basrani et al., found lower contact angle in different preparations containing chlorhexidine, enabling better diffusion into tubules [18].

Moreover, the presence of chlorhexidine adds substantivity to the formulation, due to its adsorption capacity and slow liberation of active molecules by dental tissues [6]. Another advantage is that it does not produce resistant microorganisms.

According to the results of the study, propolis has better antibacterial efficacy when compared to calcium hydroxide on day 1 but there was no significant difference between them on day 3 and day 5. Mechanisms of propolis activity against microorganisms had been explained in a number of ways. The antibacterial action can be attributed to its flavonoid contents like quercetin, galangin, pinocembrin, esters of caffeic acid, benzoic acid and cinnamic acid [19]. In addition the ultraviolet absorbing component of propolis has been shown to inhibit bacterial DNA dependant RNA polymerase [20]. It is reported that propolis prevents bacterial cell division and act on the microbial membrane or cell wall site causing functional and structural damages, similar to the action of some antibiotics [21,22].

There are no reports dealing with bacterial resistance to constituents of propolis [23]. Recent studies reported that propolis is more effective against resistant microorganisms as well as biocompatible to the periradicular tissues than existing medicaments [20].

It is difficult to contrast the results of different studies on propolis antimicrobial activity against *E. faecalis* due to differences in propolis formulations as well as in microbiologic methods and the time period of intracanal medication. Its composition varies with the flora of a given area, the time of collection and the inclusion of wax contaminants. This could vary the clinical effectiveness of propolis on the intracanal microflora.

Further research of these alternative medicaments is necessary before clinical application is considered.

CONCLUSION

This in vitro study evaluated the antimicrobial efficacy of 2% Chlorhexidine gel, Propolis and Calcium hydroxide against *Enterococcus faecalis* at the depth of 400 μ m in human root dentin at the end of 1, 3 and 5 days. Under the limitations of the study, following are the conclusions:

- 1. 2% Chlorhexidine consistently demonstrated significant (100%) inhibition against *E. faecalis* at day 1, 3 and 5.
- The antimicrobial efficacy of Propolis was greater than Ca(OH)₂ at day 1, but there was no significant difference at day 3 and day 5.
- 3. Propolis can be used an effective alternative intracanal medicament.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr Kishore Bhat, HOD, Department of Microbiology, MMNGHIDS for his guidance throu out the study, and also would like to thank principal, MMNGHIDS and HOD of cons and endo, MMNGHIDS for the support.

REFERENCES

- Guven Kayaoglu, Huma Omurlu, Gulcin Akca, Mugem Gurel, Omur Gencay, Kadriye Sorkun, et al. Antibacterial activity of Propolis versus conventional endodontic disinfectants against *enterococcus faecalis* in infected dentinal tubules. *J Endod.* 2011;37:376-81.
- [2] Lama Awawdeh, Maha AL-Beitawi, Mohammad Hammad. Effectiveness of propolis and calcium hydroxide as a short-term intracanal medicament against *Enterococcus faecalis*: A laboratory study. *Aust Endod J.* 2009;35:52-58.
- [3] Kandaswamy D, Venkateshbabu N, Gogulnath D, Kindo AJ. Dentinal tubule disinfection with 2% chlorhexidine gel, propolis, morindacitrifolia juice, 2% povidone iodine, and calcium hydroxide. *Int Endod J.* 2010;43:419–23.
- [4] Flaviana Bombarda de Andrade Ferreira, Torres, Silva Rosa, Ferreira, Garcia, Marcucci, et al. Antimicrobial effect of propolis and other substances against selected endodontic pathogens. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2007;104:709-16.
- [5] Trope M, Bergenholtz G. Microbiological basis for endodontic treatment: can a maximal outcome be achieved in one visit? *Endo Topics*. 2002;1:40–53.
- [6] Gomes BP, Vianna ME, Sena NT, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, de Souza Filho FJ. In vitro evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of calcium hydroxide combined with chlorhexidine gel used as intracanal medicament. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2006;102:544-50.
- [7] V Ahuja, A Ahuja. Apitherapy A sweet approach to dental diseases. Part II: Propolis. J. Academy Adv Dental Research. 2011;2:1-8.
- [8] Viuda-Martos M, Ruiz-Navajas Y, Fernandez-Lopez J, Perez-Alvarez JA. Functional properties of honey, propolis, and royal jelly. *J Food Sci.* 2008; 73:R117-24.
- [9] Bankova V. Chemical diversity of propolis and the problem of standardization. J Ethnopharmacol. 2005;100:114-17.
- [10] Uzel A, Sorkun K, Oncag O, Cogulu D, Gencay O, Salih B. Chemical compositions and antimicrobial activities of four different Anatolian propolis samples. *Microbiol Res.* 2005;160:189–95.
- [11] Abhishek Parolia, Manuel S Thomas, M Kundabala and Mandakini Mohan. Propolis and its potential uses in oral health. *International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*. 2010;2:210-15.
- [12] Ercan E, Dalli M, Dulgergil CT. In vitro assessment of the effectiveness of chlorhexidine gel and calcium hydroxide paste with chlorhexidine against *Enterococcus faecalis* and Candida albicans. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2006;102:e27-31.
- [13] Dakshita Joy Vaghela, Kandaswamy, Nagendrababu Venkateshbabu, Narasimman Jamini, Ganesh Arathi. Disinfection of dentinal tubules with two different formulations of calcium hydroxide as compared to 2% chlorhexidine: as intracanal medicaments against *E. faecalis* and Candida albicans: an in vitro study. *J Cons Dent.* 2011;14:182-86.
- [14] Haapasalo M, Ørstavik D. In vitro infection and disinfection of dentinal tubules. J Dent Res. 1987;66:1375–79.
- [15] Estrela C, Sydney GB, Pesce HF, Felippe Jr O. Dentinal diffusion of hydroxyl ions of various Ca(OH)2 pastes. *Braz Dent J.* 1995;6:5-9.
- [16] Evans M, Davies JK, Sundqvist G, Figdor D. Mechanisms involved in the resistance of *Enterococcus faecalis* to calcium hydroxide. *Int Endod J.* 2002; 35:221–28.
- [17] Gomes BP, Souza, Ferraz, Teixeira, Zaia, Valdrighi, Souza Filho, et al. Effectiveness of 2% chlorhexidinegluconate gel and calcium hydroxide against *Enterococcus faecalis* in bovine root dentin in vitro. *Int Endod J.* 2003; 36:267-75.
- [18] Basrani B, Ghanem A, Tjäderhane L. Physical and chemical properties of chlorhexidine and calcium hydroxide- containing medications. *J Endod.* 2004;30:413–17.
- [19] Kuiumgiev A, Tsvetkova L, Serkedjieva Y, Bankova V, Christov R, Popov S. Antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activity of propolis of different geographic origin. J. Ethno. Pharmacol. 1999;64:235-40.

www.jcdr.net

- [20] Madhubala MM, Srinivasan N, Ahamed S. Comparative evaluation of propolis and triantibiotic mixture as an intracanal medicament against *Enterococcus* faecalis. J Endod. 2011;37:376-81.
- [21] Takaisikikuni NB, Schilcher H. Electron-microscopic and micro calorimetric investigations of the possible mechanism of the antibacterial action of a defined propolis provenance. *Planta Med.* 1994;60:222-27.
- [22] Mirzoeva OK, Grishanin RN, Calder PC. Antimicrobial action of propolis and some of its components: the effects on growth, membrane potential and motility of bacteria. *Microbiol Res.* 1997;152:239-46.
- [23] Fatih Özan, Zeynep Sümer, Zübeyde Akın Polat, KüratEr, Ülkü Özan, Orhan Deerl. Effect of Mouthrinse Containing Propolis on Oral Microorganisms and Human Gingival Fibroblasts. *Eur J Dent.* 2007;1:195-201.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, YCMM and Rdf's Dental College and Hospital, Ahamednagar, India.
- 2. Associate Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, JSS Dental College and Hospital, JSS University, Mysore, india.
- 3. Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MMNGHIDS, Bauxite Road, Belgaum, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Ashwini T S,

Flat no 103, Sankalp Jasmine Apartment, Paramahamsaroad, Yadavagiri, Mysore 20, India. Phone : 8884853054, E-mail : drashts2000@yahoo.co.in

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Jun 17, 2014 Date of Peer Review: Aug 01, 2014 Date of Acceptance: Aug 08, 2014 Date of Publishing: Nov 20, 2014