Fibrosarcoma of the Mandible: A Diagnostic Dilemma

ABSTRACT

Fibrosarcoma is a malignant mesenchymal neoplasm of fibroblasts that rarely affects oral cavity and can cause local recurrences or metastasis. The aetiologic factors are still unknown, but many authors have reported the radiation therapy history as an important aetiological factor, followed by trauma and underlying conditions like Paget’s disease, fibrous dysplasia or chronic osteomyelitis. Fibrosarcoma of mandible is rare, with an incidence which ranges from 0-6.1% of all primary fibrosarcomas of the bone. This paper has described a case of a swelling in the mandible of a 17-years old female who had a radiolucency in association with crown of an impacted tooth and foci of radiopacity, which led to a misdiagnosis of either an odontogenic lesion or a bone tumour, but proved to be a fibrosarcoma on histopathological and immunohistochemistry investigations.
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CASE REPORT

A 17-years old female was referred to Department of Pathology with a painful swelling on the right side of face [Table/Fig-1a]. No history of any systemic illness or trauma to the present area was present. She gave a history of pain in the right posterior mandibular region of 1 month duration, which was associated with a difficulty in chewing food and a limited mouth opening. Extra-orally, the swelling was irregular in shape, with ill defined borders, which extended superiorly from ala tragus line to inferior border of the mandible and anteroposteriorly from right corner of the mouth to preauricular region [Table/Fig-1b]. The swelling was firm in consistency and painful on palpation. The right submandibular lymph node was palpable and non-tender. Intraorally, the swelling appeared to arise from buccal mucosa. An Orthopantomograph (OPG) revealed osteolytic areas in the right mandibular angle and ramus, with ill defined borders, in association with an impacted mandibular right third molar. In addition, there were foci of calcification at the ramus of the mandible [Table/Fig -2]. A radiolucency which involved the impacted #48 tooth led to the provisional diagnosis of an odontogenic tumour or cyst, but the presence of a calcification at the ramus of the mandible suggested mimicking of an osteosarcoma or a chondrosarcoma.

To determine the nature of the oral mass, an incisional biopsy was performed under local anaesthesia. The haematoxylin and eosin stained section showed a non-encapsulated tumour tissue which was made of collagenous stroma, with fascicles of spindle shaped cells. The cells exhibited hyperchromatic nuclei and an increased nuclear cytoplasmic ratio. In few areas, there was presence of a herring bone pattern, which was characteristic of a fibrosarcoma [Table/Fig-3a]. A histopathological diagnosis of a fibrosarcoma was made. Further, an immunohistochemical analysis showed a positive reaction for vimentin and negative ones for pan cytokeratin, desmin, actin and S-100 protein, which confirmed it as fibrosarcoma [Table/ Fig-3b]. Patient was referred for a surgical excision, for further treatment. The patient did not report for follow up.

DISCUSSION

A fibrosarcoma is a malignant tumour that arises from fibroblasts. Fibrosarcomas can arise in soft tissues or within bones. Intra-osseous fibrosarcomas may develop endosteally or possibly periosteally, the latter affecting bones by spread from adjacent soft tissues [1]. The mean age for the occurrence of fibrosarcomas is between the 2nd and 6th decades of life, with equal gender distribution. In the

[Table/Fig-1]: A and B: Swelling in the right posterior mandibular region

[Table/Fig-2]: Orthopantomograph (OPG) showing osteolytic areas in the right mandibular angle and ramus. Foci of calcifications at the ramus of the mandible illustrated with arrows
and synovial sarcoma. In fibromatosis, mitosis is absent and the histological appearance is similar to that of fibrosarcoma, fibroblastic sarcoma. The histological appearance of fibrosarcoma is characterized by high grade lesions show intense nuclear pleomorphism, a greater cellularity and atypical mitoses which are associated with a herring bone growth pattern. There are mild degrees of nuclear pleomorphism, a greater cellularity and atypical mitoses which are associated with a herring bone growth pattern. The present case is unique in that clinical and radiographic images were misleading. They suggested an odontogenic and a bone tumour. In the delineation of differential entities, the clinical, radiological and histological findings are very important in the diagnostic evaluation of the lesion, and they must be considered along with the immunohistochemical findings. Hence, in cases with rapidly growing lesions in oral cavity, fibrosarcomas must be included, as there is still a paucity of reports on fibrosarcomas in the head and neck region.
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