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INTRODUCTION
A crossed renal ectopia is one of the rarest, but second most common congenital fusion anomaly of kidney. Ninety percent of crossed ectopic kidneys are fused to their ipsilateral mates, whereas unfused ectopias constitute only 10 % of such cases. This anomaly is more common in males, with a ratio of 2:1. Left-to-right ectopia is seen three times more frequently than the opposite [1]. Associated genital anomalies are very rarely seen in patients with unfused crossed renal ectopias. Here, we have reported a case of an unfused crossed renal ectopia for its rare occurrence and unusual coexistence with anorchia.

CASE REPORT
A 7–year–old boy presented with recurrent episodes of fever, dysuria and abdominal colic on and off since past 5 months. An abdominal examination revealed a lump which occupied right iliac and hypogastric areas, which measured 10×5 cm. Scrotal sac was empty on the left side and left testis was not feeling up to the deep inguinal ring. On investigating, haemoglobin was found to be 13.4 gm%, WBC count was 9400/mm³, serum Creatinine was 1.3mg%, and urine routine examination showed 8-10 pus cells. Ultrasonography of the abdomen and pelvis showed an empty left renal fossa with visualization of a gross hydronephrotic kidney, which had occupied right iliac fossa and hypogastric region. X-ray IVP showed non delineation of the left kidney [Table/Fig-1]. A 99 mTc DTPA scan was performed to assess exact kidney function and its location [Table/Fig-2]. DTPA scan revealed an unfused crossed ectopia of left kidney to the right, with a grossly reduced GFR of 7.6 ml/min. The decision to perform an open nephrectomy of the ectopic left kidney was taken, based on DTPA scan findings. At surgical exploration, left kidney was found to be ectopic and grossly hydronephrotic [Table/Fig-3a and 3b], with its ureter entering the bladder on the orthotopic site. There was a clear plane of separation between the two kidneys which were placed on right side. Left testicile was searched for its intra–abdominal location, but it could not be visualized, except for blind ending vessels on the left side. The patient had a smooth post-operative recovery, and he was asymptomatic during his follow-up. Pathological examination revealed chronic pyelonephritis without any evidence of dysplastic changes.

DISCUSSION
Crossed renal ectopia was first described by Pannorlus in 1964, which was defined as transposition of a kidney to the contralateral side, with midline crossing the associated ureter to be inserted in its normal position in the bladder [2,3]. McDonald and McClellan classified crossed renal ectopia into 4 types: type A, a crossed ectopia with fusion; type B, a crossed ectopia without fusion; type C, a solitary crossed ectopia and type D, a bilaterally crossed ectopia [4]. Reported autopsy incidence of fused crossed renal ectopia is 1:7500, an incidence which is ten times higher than that of unfused variety [3]. Our patient had the uncommon type B variety. Factors which are responsible for cross renal ectopia still remain undetermined. In 1938, Wilmer suggested that a crossover occurs as a result of pressure from abnormally placed umbilical arteries, that prevents a cephalad migration of the renal unit, which then follows the path of least resistance to the opposite side [5]. Although most
of the individuals are asymptomatic, 1/3 of cases have abdominal masses [6]. The highest incidence of associated anomalies is seen in type C. Fifty percent of patients with solitary crossed renal ectopias have skeletal anomalies, and 40% have genital abnormalities. The most common of the latter in males is either cryptorchidism or absence of the vas deferens. However, incidence of concomitant anomalies in other varieties of crossed ectopias is low; among which imperforate anus (4%), orthopaedic anomalies (4%), skeletal abnormalities and septal cardiovascular defects are more frequent [7,8]. In our case, the left testis was absent, which per se, is a very rare association with an unfused crossed ectopia. We performed a nephrectomy, because the ectopic moiety was poorly functioning (GFR-7.6 ml/min). A 99 mTc DTPA scan is a useful tool for evaluation of a nonfunctioning ectopic kidney [5]. CECT can be used as an alternative to a DTPA scan in diagnosing renal ectopia [8]. In this case, diagnosis was made by USG, IVP and DTPA scanning. Radionuclide scanning is a must while a nephrectomy is considered for a non-functioning ectopic kidney.

CONCLUSION

Congenital renal anomalies should be suspected in previously asymptomatic patients who present with abdominal lumps or colic. Even though they are not common, the ectopic kidney varieties should be thought of. Our finding of a cross renal ectopia (left to right) without a fusion itself was a rare occurrence and its coexistence with anorchia was again an even rarer association.

Abbreviation: USG- ultrasonography, IVP- intravenous pyelography, DTPA- diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid, CECT- contrast enhanced computed tomography.
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