A 45-year-old lady presented with the complaints of a slow growing painless swelling on her left side of back of 1 year duration. The swelling started with an innocuous looking small subcutaneous nodule which was initially ignored by the patient as a harmless lump. The lump progressively enlarged in size to attain the present dimensions. The patient denied any history of anorexia, weight loss, weakness in the left upper limb or limited mobility of left shoulder joint. She reported inability to lie supine due to sheer size of the lump. Local examination revealed a 13×12 cm spherical swelling on the left lower para-spinal region. The overlying skin was reddened and stretched with coursing prominent superficial veins.

The lump was non tender, of variegated consistency, having well defined margins, and freely mobile over the underlying Lattismus dorsi muscle. The chest X-ray of the patient was unremarkable. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) lumbosacral spine revealed a 13×12 cm mass having solid and cystic components with internal septations in the subcutaneous tissue of left posterior paraspinal area. The mass was heterogenously hyperintense on T2 weighted images suggestive of synovial sarcoma. Core cut biopsy of the lump revealed mesenchymal tumor with spindle cell morphology. Wide local excision of the lump was carried out followed by closure of the defect with a lateral intercostal artery based rotational flap. The histopathological examination of the excised tumor revealed synovial sarcoma that stained positive for cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen. This case highlights an unusually large subcutaneous synovial sarcoma present in para-spinal area.

**DISCUSSION**

Soft tissue sarcomas (STS) are a heterogenous group of malignant neoplasms of mesodermal origin. They account for less than 1% of all adult malignant neoplasms [1]. Synovial sarcoma is an uncommon variant representing only 7-10% of all STS [2]. Synovial sarcoma does not mean synovial tissue origin of the tumor, rather the histological appearance of tumor cells closely resembles the cells lining the synovial membrane. As in most STS, synovial sarcomas usually present as painless, slow growing, deep seated tumors. The favored site for synovial sarcomas is lower extremity particularly the area around knee joint. The uncommon sites are head and neck, para-vertebral region, intra thoracic, intra abdominal and retroperitoneal location, and chest and abdominal wall [2].

Synovial sarcoma is a rare form of extremity sarcoma characterized by slow growth and high malignant potential. As the name suggests, this tumor is located in the vicinity of the articular surfaces, in close association with the tendon sheath, bursae and joint capsules; however, the articular surface proper is never involved. The tumor is also notorious for arising in aberrant locations away from the joint cavities. This slow growing tumor does not restrict the joint movements and as a consequence diagnosis is often delayed till it attains a significant size or becomes painful. The occurrence of pain is due to the pressure effect of the enlarging mass on the adjacent neurovascular bundle and erosion of bone periosteum. Lungs are the principal site for distant metastasis and in rare instances metastasis to the lymph nodes and skeletal system may be observed [2].

The diagnosis of STS rests on both radiological and histopathological findings. Radiological imaging plays a key role for preoperative diagnosis of synovial sarcoma. Presence of a soft tissue mass laced with peripheral punctuate calcification on plain X-ray is highly suspicious for synovial sarcoma [3]. CECT scan shows a highly suspicious mass that is well defined with internal septations highly suggestive of synovial sarcoma. The mass was heterogenously hyperintense on T2 weighted images suggestive of synovial sarcoma. Core cut biopsy of the lump revealed mesenchymal tumor with spindle cell morphology. Wide local excision of the lump was carried out followed by closure of the defect with a lateral intercostal artery based rotational flap. The histopathological examination of the excised tumor revealed synovial sarcoma that stained positive for cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen. This case highlights an unusually large subcutaneous synovial sarcoma present in para-spinal area.

**CASE REPORT**

A 45-year-old lady presented with the complaints of a slow growing painless swelling on her left side of back of 1 year duration. The swelling started with an innocuous looking small subcutaneous nodule which was initially ignored by the patient as a harmless lump. The lump progressively enlarged in size to attain the present dimensions. The patient denied any history of anorexia, weight loss, weakness in the left upper limb or limited mobility of left shoulder joint. She reported inability to lie supine due to sheer size of the lump. Local examination revealed a 13×12 cm spherical swelling on the left lower para-spinal region. The overlying skin was reddened and stretched with coursing prominent superficial veins.

The lump was non tender, of variegated consistency, having well defined margins, and freely mobile over the underlying Lattismus dorsi muscle. The chest X-ray of the patient was unremarkable. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) lumbosacral spine revealed a 13×12 cm mass having solid and cystic components with internal septations in the subcutaneous tissue of left posterior paraspinal area. The mass was heterogenously hyperintense on T2 weighted images suggestive of synovial sarcoma. Core cut biopsy of the lump revealed mesenchymal tumor with spindle cell morphology. Wide local excision of the lump was carried out followed by closure of the defect with a lateral intercostal artery based rotational flap. The histopathological examination of the excised tumor revealed synovial sarcoma that stained positive for cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen. This case highlights an unusually large subcutaneous synovial sarcoma present in para-spinal area.
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heterogeneous septate soft tissue mass with cystic areas and small soft tissue calcific deposits. It also provides useful information about the adjacent bones and draining lymph nodes. MRI is the imaging modality of choice for diagnosis and staging of synovial sarcoma. The advantage of MRI includes full delineation of the tumor mass with accurate information regarding involvement of adjacent muscle compartments, tendons, fascial planes, neurovascular bundles and the skeletal system. Most patients have multifocal lesions with internal septations and tumor calcifications. The demonstration of triple signal density i.e. areas of hyperintensity, isointensity and hypointensity in relation to fatty tissue is unique of synovial sarcoma. This appearance is due to the presence of cystic, solid areas with intra tumoral hemorrhage and fibrosis [3]. Presence of calcifications in the tumor mass is associated with a better prognosis while hemorrhage and triple signal pattern indicate a poor prognosis [4].

On histopathological examination three different subtypes of synovial sarcoma are identified. The monophasic type comprises of only spindle cells while the biphasic type is a mixture of spindle cells with epithelioid cells. The third type is the poorly differentiated type which consists of mainly primitive round cells with areas of high cellularity, pleomorphism, increased mitosis, and necrosis [2]. Immunohistochemical staining is routinely done for diagnosis of synovial sarcomas. The spindle cells show a positive immunoreactivity to epithelial membrane antigen, vimentin, calretinin, cytokeratin, and proliferating cell nuclear antigen; they are negative to smooth muscle actin, CD117 and S 100 [5]. The gold standard for diagnosis is molecular analysis method that identifies the characteristic t(X;18)(p11.2;q11.2) translocation [6]. This is particularly useful for differentiation of sarcomatoid mesothelioma that shares the same immunoreactivity pattern as synovial sarcoma.

Wide local excision with negative resection margins is the desirable treatment for synovial sarcomas. The role of radiotherapy is limited to local disease control. In many patients adjuvant radiotherapy is beneficial in that it allows a conservative limb sparing resection to be carried out. Chemotherapeutic regimes containing ifosfamide and doxorubicin have a beneficial role in controlling the metastatic deposits [7]. Adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy is indicated in high risk individuals having a tumor size > 5 cm, positive resection margins, high histological grade and presence of metastasis.

Early diagnosis and prompt treatment is the key for successful outcome in any malignant process and STS are no exception. Factors that compel the patient to seek early medical attention are presence of pain, visible lump with associated rapid growth, and cosmetic and functional deficits [1]. STS are notorious for delayed diagnosis as they are painless, slow growing, and remain unnoticed for prolonged periods if situated at an unexposed and inaccessible part of the body. More over patients with superficial STS present late in comparison to patients with deep seated STS. Probably the ease of palpation of the superficial lump gives a false sense of assurance to the patient that lump is not harmful. The inability to feel a deep seated lump in its whole extent makes the patient apprehensive about the nature of the lump and to seek early medical opinion. Absence of tell tale signs of malignancy can deceive the unsuspecting physician as in they tend to settle for diagnosis of a more common benign soft tissue swelling. These results in adopting a wait and watch policy, delay in ordering the requisite radiological investigations and not going for wide excision even if resection is planned thus adversely affecting the prognosis.

We would like to conclude that even if we are in the 21st century with all the modern diagnostic armamentarium at our disposal, diagnosis of STS is often delayed due to lack of awareness on the part of the patients and overconfidence on the part of the treating physicians. Adhering to the principles of meticulous history and physical examination and ordering necessary investigations at the slightest possible suspicion will go a long way in achieving a better prognosis for these patients.
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